WO

## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

		DIS	STRICT OF A	<u> RIZO</u>	<u>NA</u>	TA Marantal Control		<u> </u>	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v.				ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL					
~	Ricardo Esqueda-Morales			Case Number: <u>11-09205M-001</u>					
and was r	represente	the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. d by counsel. I conclude by a prending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention reponderance of the e	hearing w evidence t	vas held o he defend	n June 16, 20 <sup>,</sup> dant is a flight	11. Defendant warisk and order the	as present detention	
I find by a	nrenend	erance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF F	ACT					
•			ha Unitad States or k	outully ad	mitted fo	r narmanant r	ooidonoo		
		The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.							
	⊠ If En	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Custom Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.							
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.								
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculate to assure his/her future appearance.							
	☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.								
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.								
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.								
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.								
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforce							ement.		
	Th	e defendant is facing a maxim	um of		years im	prisonment.			
T at the tim	The Court in the h	ncorporates by reference the mearing in this matter, except as	naterial findings of the noted in the record.		Services A	Agency which	were reviewed by	/ the Court	
1	I. Th	ere is a serious risk that the de							
2	2. No	condition or combination of co	onditions will reasonal	bly assure	e the app	earance of the	e defendant as re	equired.	
		DIRE	CTIONS REGARDING	G DETEN	ITION			•	
a correction appeal. To of the Unit	ions facility The defend ited States	dant is committed to the custod of separate, to the extent practical dant shall be afforded a reasonal of or on request of an attorney for hited States Marshal for the pu	able, from persons aw able opportunity for pr or the Government, the	aiting or s vate cons e person i	serving se sultation v in charge	entences or be with defense c of the correct	ing held in custoo ounsel. On orde ions facility shall	dy pending r of a court	
		APPE	ALS AND THIRD PA	RTY REL	.EASE				
		ERED that should an appeal of e motion for review/reconsidera							
Services	sufficient	THER ORDERED that if a releatly in advance of the hearing beential third party custodian.							
DATE: _	June 16,	2011			Uni	JAY R. I	RWIN agistrate Judge		